

1
1/2/2018

KARNATAKA LOKAYUKTA, BENGALURU

No:Compt/LOK/BCD-2553/2018 Date : 11-09-2018

ORDER

The complaint filed by Sri.H.S.Doreswamy for rejuvenation of lakes was originally registered in No.Compt/LOK/BCD-2253/2017. Subsequently, I passed an order for splitting of that complaint into several complaints confining to each one of the lakes in respect of which grievance has been made by Sri.H.S.Doreswamy. Accordingly, the grievance in respect of **Puttenahalli Lake** has been registered in this complaint.

2. While dealing with the grievance in respect of all the lakes in my order dated 17-01-2018, so far as **Puttenahalli Lake** is concerned, at paragraph 10 & 20 of the order, I have observed as follows:-

"10. The report submitted by Sri. B.V.Satish, Chief Engineer (Lakes), BBMP in respect of the lakes referred to in his report indicates that there has been large scale encroachment. Since 17 lakes are involved for survey and identification of the encroached portions, Sri. B.V.Satish prays for three months time. I find that that the request made is fair and reasonable. In the facts and circumstances of the case, three months time is

11/11/18

granted to get all the 17/18 lakes referred to in his report surveyed and identified with reference to the encroachment made. It is also needless to point out that after survey and identification of the encroachment, the effective steps are required to be taken by the BBMP to protect the lake from further encroachment and to prevent discharge of effluent substance and for the purpose of rejuvenating the said lakes”

“20. Sri. B.V.Satish, Chief Engineer has also agreed that by the next date of hearing, he will also submit a detailed project report indicating as to how each one of the lakes which are within the jurisdiction of Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike referred to above, can be developed. The said statement is placed on record. All the authorities referred to above are required to file a status report with regard to the steps taken by the next date of hearing positively.”

3. It is noticed that, so far as **Puttenahalli Lake** is concerned, further report regarding the action taken by the respondents has not been placed in the present complaint. It is noticed by me that, after splitting up of this case, notice to the respondents of this newly registered case has not been issued.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that, apart from the respondents impleaded for the present case, it is desirable to implead the Chairman, BWSSB as




Respondent-3, who has to submit the status report regarding the action taken for rejuvenation of **Puttenahalli Lake.**

5. Office is directed to issue notice to all the respondents to submit status report as stated above by the next date of hearing.

6. Communicate this order to the complainant and to the respondents forthwith both by E-mail as well as by means of postal service.

List this matter on 15-11-2018 at 3.00 p.m.


(Justice P. Vishwanatha Shetty) 11/9/2018
Lokayukta, State of Karnataka

ck*

ARE-1)